

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,425		06/24/2003	Jerry Ditter	PALL.107C1	3308
20995	7590	06/27/2005		EXAM	INER
KNOBBE 1		NS OLSON & B	CHEVALIER,	CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	A 92614			1772	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/603,425	DITTER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alicia Chevalier	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)    This action is FINAL.	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal matters, pr						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers		+					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of th	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: polyarylsulfone is repeated twice in the Markush group. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 contains the trademark/trade name Mylar. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or

Art Unit: 1772

trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a layer and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chau et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,873,073).

Regarding Applicant's claim 1, Chau discloses a filter laminate (col. 1, lines 8-10) comprising any arrangement of plurality of discrete layers (figures 2a and 2b) comprising a first membrane layer comprising a first membrane and at least a second membrane comprising a second membrane and a bond between each of the adjacent layers (col. 3, lines 11-32 and col. 6, line 67 through col. 7, line 18).

The limitation "wherein the bond is formed after each of the layers is formed" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art.

MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/603,425

Art Unit: 1772

structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Chau discloses multilayered membrane.

Regarding Applicant's claims 2-4, Chau discloses that the first and second membrane's are asymmetric (col. 3, line 12).

Regarding Applicant's claim 15, Chau discloses that the first membrane comprises a polymer selected from the group consisting of polyvinylidene fluoride, polyarylsulfone, polyethersulfone, polyamides and celluslosic derivative (col.4, lines 60 through col. 5, line 18).

Regarding Applicant's claims 16-18, Chau discloses that the filter further comprises a layer comprising a material selected from the group consisting or polyester, polypropylene, polyolefin, polyethylene, nylon, paper, cellulose, glass fiber, acrylic, and Mylar and/or selected from the group consisting of nonwoven fibrous material, woven fibrous material, web material, sheet material, calendared material, wet laid material, dry laid material, and extruded material (col.4, lines 60 through col. 5, line 18 and col. 6, line 67 through col. 7, line 18).

Regarding Applicant's claim 19, Chau disclose a filter laminate comprising in any arrangement a first distinct layer of material having a first asymmetric membrane, a second distinct layer of material having a second membrane, and a third distinct layer of material having a third membrane, wherein each layer is adjacent to at lest one other layer and are secured by a bond (figures 2a and 2b).

Regarding Applicant's claim 20, Chau discloses a filter laminate comprising at least three discrete layers of material each layer being adjacent to and bonded to at least one other layer, wherein at least two of the layers comprise membranes and wherein none of the layers function s only as a bonding layer (figures 2a and 2b).

Art Unit: 1772

The limitation "bonded to at least one layer after formation of after each of said layers" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art.

MPEP 2113. Furthermore, there does not appear to be a difference between the prior art structure and the structure resulting from the claimed method because Chau discloses multilayered membrane.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chau in view of Dennison et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,006,247).

Chau is relied upon as described above.

Chau fails to disclose that the first membrane has a first surface and a second surface, each of the surfaces comprising pores, wherein the pores of the second surface have an average diameter at least about 5 times greater than an average diameter of the pores of the first surface.

Dennison discloses an asymmetric porous membrane (*title*) having a membrane with a first surface and a second surface, each of the surfaces comprising pores, wherein the pores of

Application/Control Number: 10/603,425

Art Unit: 1772

the second surface have an average diameter at least about 5 or times greater than an average diameter of the pores of the first surface (col. 8, lines 35-38). The first membrane further comprises a support structure between the first and second surface, which comprises a reticular network of flow channels connecting the pores of the first surface with the pores to the second surface (col. 8, lines 30-60). The flow channels substantially increase gradually in diameter between the first and second surfaces (col. 8, lines 35-38). The diameter of the pores on the first surface are about 0.01 to about 10.0 µm or less than about 0.01 µm (col. 8, lines 35-38). The membrane is useful as a microfiltration or ultrafiltration separation processes (col. 3, lines 16-18).

Chau and Dennison are analogous because discloses porous membranes in filters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Dennison asymmetric porous membrane as the porous membrane in Chau in order to make the filter useful in microfiltration or ultrafiltration.

9. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chau in view of Demmer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,547,575).

Chau is relied upon as described above.

Chau fails to disclose that the laminate further comprises a hot melt adhesive.

Demmer discloses a porous membrane for a microfilter (example 5) comprises hot melt adhesive for bonding the layers together (col. 6, lines 28-37).

Chau and Demmer are analogous because discloses porous membranes in filters.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a hot melt adhesive as taught by Demmer to Chau in order to bond the layers together.

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alicia Chevalier

6/23/05